

ICEVs vs. EVs

SUDARSHANA KARKALA | EV.ENGINEER | carsoftwaresystems@gmail.com | +91 9845561518 | LinkedIn | CAR SOFTWARE SYSTEMS

INTRODUCTION

Internal Combustion Engine Vehicles (ICEVs) and Electric Vehicles (EVs) represent two different approaches to automobile propulsion systems. While ICEVs rely on fossil fuels such as gasoline or diesel, EVs use electricity stored in batteries to power electric motors.

Why Compare ICEVs and EVs?

- To understand the efficiency, performance, and environmental impact of both technologies.
- To evaluate the economic feasibility and long-term benefits of transitioning to EVs.
- To assess government regulations and incentives favouring cleaner mobility solutions.

VEHICLE CONSTRUCTION & AGGREGATES

ICEV Construction Characteristics:

- Petrochemical Explosion Mechanism: Converts chemical energy into mechanical work via combustion.
- Heavy Drivetrain & Chassis: Requires reinforced structures to support fuel tank, engine, and transmission.
- No Energy Recuperation: Wastes kinetic energy as heat during braking.

EV Construction Characteristics:

- Electric Drive System: Uses an electric motor for direct propulsion.
- Battery as the Primary Mass Component: Weight is centrally located for better balance.
- Energy Recuperation Capability: Regenerative braking recovers and stores energy in the battery.
- More Flexible Design: Allows for modular architectures and increased interior space.

ENERGY EFFICIENCY COMPARISON

ICEV Efficiency:

- Only 18-24% of fuel energy is converted into useful power.
- Diesel engines are slightly more efficient than gasoline engines but remain far less efficient than EVs.

EV Efficiency:

- 75-95% of energy from the battery is used for propulsion.
- Electric motors are three times more efficient than ICEs.
- Regenerative braking helps recover up to 70% of kinetic energy lost in braking.

SUDARSHANA KARKALA | EV.ENGINEER | carsoftwaresystems@gmail.com | +91 9845561518 | LinkedIn | CAR SOFTWARE SYSTEMS

Metric	ICEV	EV
Powertrain Efficiency	18-24%	75-95%
Energy Recuperation	None	Regenerative Braking (70%+ recovery)
Running Cost	High	Low

PERFORMANCE & TORQUE CHARACTERISTICS

ICEV Torque Characteristics:

- Delayed Torque Delivery: Requires higher RPM to achieve peak power.
- Multi-Speed Gearbox Needed: Multiple gears compensate for torque limitations.
- Slower Acceleration: Takes time to reach maximum torque.

EV Torque Characteristics:

- Instant Torque: Maximum torque available from zero RPM.
- Single-Speed Transmission: No need for multi-speed gearboxes.
- Faster Acceleration: EVs outperform ICEVs in initial acceleration due to immediate power delivery.

Feature	ICEV	EV
Torque Delivery	Needs high RPM	Instant Torque from 0 RPM
Transmission	Multi-Speed	Single-Speed
Acceleration	Slower	Faster

RELIABILITY & MAINTENANCE

ICEV Reliability Factors:

- More Moving Parts: Higher failure points (fuel system, exhaust, air intake, transmission).
- Complex Gearbox: Requires frequent oil changes and component replacements.

EV Reliability Factors:

- Fewer Moving Parts: Reduced maintenance costs.
- No Oil Changes Needed: Eliminates fuel and exhaust system maintenance.
- Single-Speed Transmission: Fewer components to wear out.

Reliability Factor	ICEV	EV
Moving Parts	Many	Few
Maintenance Cost	High	Low
Transmission Complexity	Multi-Speed	Single-Speed

TOTAL COST OF OWNERSHIP (TCO)

ICEV Cost Considerations:

- Higher Fuel Cost: Dependence on fossil fuels increases running costs.
- Frequent Maintenance: Oil changes, spark plugs, and transmission servicing add expenses.

EV Cost Considerations:

- Lower Running Costs: Electricity is cheaper than fuel.
- Minimal Maintenance: Battery and motor require fewer servicing interventions.

Cost Factor	ICEV	EV
Fuel Cost	High	Low
Maintenance	Frequent	Minimal
Charging vs. Refueling Time	Fast (5 mins)	Longer (30 mins - 8 hrs)

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT & EMISSIONS

ICEV Emissions:

- Produces CO₂, NOx, and particulate matter, contributing to air pollution and climate change.
- Requires fossil fuel extraction and refining, causing environmental degradation.

EV Emissions:

- Zero Tailpipe Emissions: No direct pollution.
- Lower Carbon Footprint: Even when factoring in battery production.
- Can Use Renewable Energy: Charging from solar/wind sources makes EVs carbon neutral.

Environmental Factor	ICEV	EV
CO ₂ Emissions	High	None
Air Pollution	High	None
Energy Source	Fossil Fuels	Electricity (Renewable Capable)

REGENERATIVE BRAKING & ENERGY RECUPERATION

ICEV Braking System:

- Uses friction-based braking that converts kinetic energy into heat, which is lost.

EV Regenerative Braking:

- Converts kinetic energy into electrical energy, storing it in the battery.
- Extends driving range and reduces brake wear.
- Can recapture 70%+ of energy lost during braking.

Feature	ICEV	EV
Braking Energy	Wasted as heat	Recaptured and stored
Brake Wear	High	Low
Impact on Efficiency	No Effect	Increases Driving Range

FUTURE OUTLOOK: ICEV vs. EV

Challenges & Advancements

- EV Battery Advancements: Faster charging, higher energy density, and better recycling options.
- Charging Infrastructure Expansion: Increased public charging points for convenience.
- Government Incentives: Subsidies promoting EV adoption.
- ICEV Regulations: Stricter emission laws pushing automakers towards electrification.

Final Comparison Summary

Factor	ICEV	EV
Efficiency	18-24%	75-95%
Emissions	High	Zero
Running Cost	High	Low
Maintenance	Frequent	Minimal
Torque Delivery	Delayed	Instant
Charging/Refueling	Fast	Takes time but improving

CONCLUSION

- EVs offer better efficiency, lower emissions, and reduced operating costs compared to ICEVs.
- ICEVs still dominate in refuelling speed and range, but EV technology is rapidly evolving.
- Future mobility trends favour electrification, with growing infrastructure and technological advancements.